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Lecture 23: SLAM I -<br>Formulations and Sparsity

## Today

## Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

- "Holy grail of mobile robotics"
- Over 30 years of robotic research


## Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping (SLAM): <br> Part I The Essential Algorithms

Hugh Durrant-Whyte, Fellow, IEEE, and Tim Bailey

Abstract-This tutorial provides an introduction to Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping (SLAM) and the extensive research on SLAM that has been undertaken over the past decade. SLAM is the process by which a mobile robot can build a map of an environment and at the same time
use this map to compute it's own location. The past decade has seen rapid and exciting progress in solving the SLAM problem together with many compelling implementations of SLAM methods. Part I of this tutorial (this paper), de scribes the probabilistic form of the SLAM problem, essential solution methods and significant implementations. Part
II of this tutorial will be concerned with recent advances in II of this tutorial will be concerned with recent advances in
this tutorial. Section V describes a number of important real-world implementations of SLAM and also highlights implementations where the sensor data and software are freely down-loadable for other researchers to study. Part II of this tutorial describes major issues in computation, convergence and data association in SLAM. These are sub jects that have been the main focus of the SLAM research community over the past five years.

# Past, Present, and Future of Simultaneous Localization And Mapping: Towards the Robust-Perception Age 

Cesar Cadena, Luca Carlone, Henry Carrillo, Yasir Latif, Davide Scaramuzza, José Neira, Ian Reid, John J. Leonard

Abstract-Simultaneous Localization And Mapping (SLAM) consists in the concurrent construction of a model of the environment (the map), and the estimation of the state of the robot moving within it. The SLAM community has made astonishing progrations, and witnessing a steady transition of this technology

SLAM comprises the simultaneous estimation of the state of a robot equipped with on-board sensors, and the construction of a model (the map) of the environment that the

> "The genesis of the probabilistic SLAM problem occurred at the 1986 IEEE Robotics and Automation Conference held in San Francisco. This was a time when probabilistic methods were only just beginning to be introduced into both robotics and AI. A number of researchers had been looking at applying estimationtheoretic methods to mapping and localisation problems; these included Peter Cheeseman, Jim Crowley, and Hugh Durrant-Whyte. Over the course of the conference many paper table cloths and napkins were filled with long discussions about consistent mapping. Along the way, Raja Chatila, Oliver Faugeras, Randal Smith and others also made useful contributions to the conversation."

## Big Picture



## "Map": Environment Representations

- Sparse:
- Landmark-based
- No explicit representation (pose graph)
- Geometric primitives
courtesy of Ranganathan et al.
- Dense:
- Point clouds
- 2D/3D occupancy grids
- 3D meshes



## Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

## Pose Graph Optimization

 (a.k.a. pose SLAM): Estimate only trajectory from sensor data

## Landmark-based SLAM:

Estimate trajectory of robot and position of external landmarks from sensor data

## Pose Graph Optimization



- Measurements: odometry + loop closures (i.e., relative pose measurements between non-consecutive poses obtained via place recognition \& 2-view geometry, or similar)
- Variables: robot poses


## Graphical representation of pose graph optimization



## Pose Graph Optimization: Sparsity

Jacobian J




Hessian $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{J}$

a.k.a.

Information Matrix of the estimate

## Graphical representation of pose graph optimization



Incidence Matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1} \\
& v_{1} \\
& v_{2} \\
& v_{3} \\
& v_{4} \\
& v_{5}
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} & e_{4} & e_{5} & e_{6} & e_{7} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

| Laplacian matrix |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrr}2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Landmark-based SLAM

- Sequence of robot (camera) poses $\mathbf{T}_{1}, \mathbf{T}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{t} \in \mathrm{SE}(d)$
- Robot measures the relative pose between $\mathbf{T}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{i+1}$ (odometry)
- Robot measures the environment (e.g., point landmarks $\mathbf{p}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ )

- Measurements: odometry + measurements of (projection, range, position, or others) of external landmarks
- Variables: robot poses and landmark positions


## Graphical representation of landmark-based SLAM



- Each variable (robot pose, landmark position/pose) is a node in the graph
- Each (usually) pairwise measurement denotes an edge between the corresponding two variables (nodes)


## Graphical representation of landmark-based SLAM



## Some terminology



MAP is maximum a posteriori estimation
(MLE if no prior is available ["uninformative" prior])
courtesy of Cadena et al.

AEROASTRO

## Backup

## Windowed Bundle Adjustment



Hessian JTJ


## Windowed Bundle Adjustment



Hessian JTJ


## Windowed Bundle Adjustment



## Cont'd

- $\widetilde{\mathrm{T}}_{i j} \rightarrow$ measured pose between $\mathrm{T}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{j}$
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{i j}=\mathbf{T}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{j} \exp \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}}\right)$ where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_{i j}\right)$
- $\left\|\mathbf{r}_{i j}\right\|_{\Sigma_{i j}^{-1}}^{2}$ where $\widehat{\mathbf{r}_{i j}}=\log _{\mathrm{SE}(3)}\left(\mathbf{T}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{i} \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{i j}\right)=-\log _{\mathrm{SE}(3)}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{T}}_{i j}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{j}\right)$
- Other noise models (and thus residual/MLE formulations) also exist and are commonly used - we'll see one next week
- e.g., Langevin noise for rotational measurements and additive Gaussian noise for translational measurements (i.e., similar to odometry measurement residuals in Lab 9 individual deliverable)
- e.g., another commonly used model uses wrapped Gaussian on rotational measurements ( $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ ) and additive Gaussian on translational measurements

A


## Loop Closure



## On board?

## Typical Back-End (MLE)

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{(i, j) \in E}\left\|\mathbf{z}_{i j} \boxminus \mathbf{h}_{i j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)\right\|_{\Sigma_{i j}^{-1}}^{2}
$$

- $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n} \rightarrow$ robot poses, landmark positions, $\ldots$
- $\mathbf{z}_{i j} \rightarrow$ actual measurement
- $\mathbf{h}_{i j} \rightarrow$ measurement model
- $\Sigma_{i j} \rightarrow$ noise covariance matrix
- $\mathbf{z}_{i j} \boxminus \mathbf{h}_{i j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right) \rightarrow$ residual (error)
- $\boxminus \rightarrow$ "generalized -" would be defined differently based on the specific measurement model


## Typical Back-End (MAP)

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{(i, j) \in E}\left\|\mathbf{z}_{i j} \boxminus \mathbf{h}_{i j}\left(\mathrm{x}_{i}, \mathrm{x}_{j}\right)\right\|_{\Sigma_{i j}^{-1}}^{2}+\sum_{i \text { potential priors for } \mathbf{x}_{i} \text { at } \mathbf{s}_{i}}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i} \boxminus \mathbf{s}_{i}\right\|_{\Sigma^{-1}}^{2}
$$

- $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n} \rightarrow$ robot poses, landmark positions, $\ldots$
- $\mathbf{z}_{i j} \rightarrow$ actual measurement
- $\mathbf{h}_{i j} \rightarrow$ measurement model
- $\Sigma_{i j} \rightarrow$ noise covariance matrix
- $\mathbf{z}_{i j} \boxminus \mathbf{h}_{i j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right) \rightarrow$ residual (error)
- $\boxminus \rightarrow$ "generalized -" would be defined differently based on the specific measurement model

